

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/974,772	YU ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
C. SAYALA	1761	

All Participants:

(1) C. SAYALA.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Atty James L. Brown, reg. no. 48,576.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

as in previous Office Action

Claims discussed:

1, 13-14, 16 21, 23

Prior art documents discussed:

Smith et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: To overcome the reference to Smith et al. as discussed in applicant's response, examiner was authorized to make the change to claim 1, as shown. Further, "use of" language in the remaining claims render them indefinite; examiner was authorized to substitute such language with 'A method of using'..